	Case 2:04-cv-02489-TSZ Document 31 F	Filed 07/29/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9		1
10	Dao Vo,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. C04-2489Z
12	V.	ORDER
13	Tom Ridge, Secretary of Department of Homeland Security, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	On December 17, 2004, Petitioner filed a Petition for Habeas Corpus, pursuant to 28	
17	U.S.C. § 2241, challenging a March 14, 2003, order removing Petitioner from the United	
18	States. Docket no. 1. On January 25, 2005, Respondent brought a motion to dismiss.	
19	Docket no. 12. Magistrate Judge Theiler's Report and Recommendation was completed on	
20	March 24, 2005, docket no. 21, and Objections were timely filed. Docket no. 22. The	
21	Magistrate's Report and Recommendation is currently pending before this Court.	
22	On May 11, 2005, the REAL ID Act of 2005 was signed into law. This Act states	
23	that, "[1]f an alien's case, brought under section 2241 of title 28, United States Code, and	
24	challenging a final administrative order of removal is pending in a district court on the	
25	date of the enactment of this division, then the district court shall transfer the case to the	
court of appeals for the circuit in which a petition for review could have been prop		on for review could have been properly filed

ORDER 1-

under section 242(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1252)." Pub. L. No. 109-13, Div. B., § 106(c), 119 Stat. 231, 311 (May 11, 2005).

On June 1, 2005, Respondent moved the Court to vacate its stay of removal and dismiss this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, pursuant to the Real ID Act of 2005. Docket no. 27. Petitioner responded and argued that dismissal would be improper, and that this case should instead be transferred to the Ninth Circuit. Docket no. 30. Because this case is filed under 28 U.S.C. 2241, is a challenge to a final order of removal, and was pending at the time the REAL ID Act was enacted, the Court TRANSFERS this action to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals as a "Petition for Review." The Clerk is directed to transmit the entire case file to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals simultaneous with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 29th day of July, 2005.

Thomas S. Zilly

United States District Judge